

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/715,753 11/17/2000		Jerry L. Mizell	NORT0080US(12419RRUS01U) 6962		
21906	7590	03/29/2005		EXAMINER	
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC				NG, CHRISTINE Y	
8554 KATY SUITE 100	FREEWA	AY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77024				2663	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/715,753	MIZELL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christine Ng	2663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-27</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,5-15,17,21,22,37,38 and 40-43</u> is 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,16,18,20,23,24 and 39</u> is/are object 	 ✓ Claim(s) 2,3,5-15,17,21,22,37,38 and 40-43 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 4,16,18,20,23,24 and 39 is/are objected to. 						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	re: .a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 2, 3, 5-15, 17, 21, 22, 37, 38 and 40-43 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to U.S. Patent No. 5,278,890 to Beeson et al and U.S. Patent No. 6,490,259 to Agrawal et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2, 3, 5, 15, 17, 22 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5.278.890 to Beeson et al.

Referring to claims 2 and 38, Beeson et al disclose in Figure 6 a method of establishing communications between a base station (BSS) and a system controller (MSC) over a network, comprising:

Identifying a plurality of paths (dashed lines) in the network, each path defined by an address (local reference number) in the base station and an address (local reference number) in the system controller.

Selecting one of the plurality of paths to communicate data associated with a given mobile station (Figure 1, MS 120).

Art Unit: 2663

Wherein selecting one of the plurality of paths comprises performing an implicit negotiation in which the path is defined by a source address (local reference number) of a message (SCCP message) communicated by the base station and by a source address (local reference number) of a message (SCCP message) communicated by the system controller. A local connection identifier is associated with each distinct connection and the connection information is shared through the use of SCCP local reference numbers. Each end will send its local reference number to confirm the setup of the connection, the local reference number identifying the number of a protocol handler (PH) on which the connection resides. Refer to Column 7, lines 48-64 and Column 9, lines 24-63.

Referring to claim 3, Beeson et al disclose in Figure 6 that performing the implicit negotiation comprises sending and receiving messages in a session having bidirectional data flow. Messages are sent both ways between the MSS and BSS. Refer to Column 7, lines 48-64 and Column 9, lines 24-63.

Referring to claim 5, Beeson et al disclose in Figure 6 that the method further comprises selecting another path by sending a message from another source address. When one PH fails in the MSC, a backup PH is chosen to take over the connections of the failed PH. The backup PH represents another path for connections and assigns local reference numbers for new connections. Refer to Column 8, lines 7-42.

Referring to claim 15, Beeson et al disclose in Figure 6 a first system (MSC) for use in a mobile communications network, comprising:

Art Unit: 2663

A communications module (WSM 610) adapted to communicate over a packet-switched network (Column 6, lines 30-37) coupled to a second system, the first system (MSC) being one of a base station and a system controller and the second system (BSS) being another one of the base station and the system controller. Refer to Column 12, lines 19-22.

A storage element (WDSM 604) containing one or more first addresses (local reference numbers of PHs) associated with the first system. Refer to Column 7, lines 48-64 and Column 12, lines 19-22.

A control module (PH) adapted to select one of the plural paths (dashed lines) over the packet-switched network, each path defined by one address (local reference number) associated with the first system and one address (local reference number) associated with the second system. Refer to the rejection of claims 2 and 38.

The control module (PH) adapted to select one of plural paths over the packetswitched network by performed an implicit negotiation in which a path is defined by a
source address (local reference number) of a message (SCCP message)
communicated by the first system and by a source address (local reference number) of
a message (SCCP message) communicated by the second system. Refer to the
rejection of claims 2 and 38.

Referring to claim 17, Beeson et al disclose that the first system comprises the base station BSS. The BSS is associated with the MSC.

Referring to claim 22, Beeson et al disclose that the control module (PH) comprises a load sharing task to select different paths for different mobile stations. By

allowing for a backup PH to every PH, this reduces the overload on the backup PH.

Refer to Column 8, lines 35-40.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,278,890 to Beeson et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,711,143 to Balazinski et al.

Beeson et al do not disclose the sending the message comprises sending a UNITDATA message.

Balazinski et al disclose that messaging can be performed by using a UNITDATA PDU. Refer to Column 5, lines 53-56. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include that sending the message comprises sending a UNITDATA message; the motivation being that UNITDATA is a standard messaging protocol.

6. Claims 7, 9, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,278,890 to Beeson et al.

Referring to claim 7, Beeson et al do not specifically disclose selecting another path by sending a change-route request. However, Beeson et al disclose that when a PH fails, a spare PH is switched into its position and connected to the sources and

Art Unit: 2663

PH a list of reference numbers of stable connections from the failed PH, which the next ascending PH controls for the failed PH (change-route request), while the spare PH takes over new connections. Refer to Column 8, lines 7-28.

Referring to claim 9, Beeson et al disclose that selecting another path by sending the change-route request is part of an explicit path negotiation. Refer to Column 8, lines 7-42.

Referring to claim 10, Beeson et al disclose in Figure 6 a method of establishing communications between a base station (BSS) and a system controller (MSC) over a network, comprising:

Identifying a plurality of paths (dashed lines) in the network, each path defined by an address (local reference number) in the base station ad an address (local reference number) in the system controller.

Selecting one of the plurality of paths to communicate data associated with a given mobile station (Figure 1, MS 120).

Selecting another path by sending a change-route request. Refer to the rejection of claim 7.

Beeson et al do not specifically disclose that selecting another path by sending a change-route request is performed during a session having unidirectional data flow between the base station and the system controller. However, when the change from the failed PH to the spare PH takes place, the spare PH accepts new SCCP message connection requests for that PH, which is a unidirectional data flow.

Art Unit: 2663

Referring to claim 13, Beeson et al disclose in Figure 6 a method of establishing communications between a base station (BSS) and a system controller (MSC) over a network, comprising:

Identifying a plurality of paths (dashed lines) in the network, each path defined by an address (local reference number) in the base station ad an address (local reference number) in the system controller.

Selecting one of the plurality of paths to communicate data associated with a given mobile station (Figure 1, MS 120).

Selecting another path by sending a change-route request. Refer to the rejection of claim 7.

Wherein sending the change-route request comprises sending the change-route request using a new source address (from the spare PH), and wherein selecting the other path is based on the new source address. The spare PH "assigns local reference numbers for new connections that have the same logical PH number as the connections formerly served by the failed PH". Refer to Column 8, lines 7-42.

8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,278,890 to Beeson et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,974,036 to Acharya et al.

Beeson et al do not disclose that the change-route request comprising sending a GPRS NS-CHANGEROUTE request.

Acharya et al do not specifically disclose a GPRS NS-CHANGEROUTE

request. However, Acharya et al disclose that a base station sends a RT_CHANGE signal to a first switch to establish a new connection to another switch during handover. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include that the change-route request comprises sending a GPRS NS-CHANGEROUTE request, the motivation being that there needs to be some type of signal to notify the system performing the rerouting of when rerouting is needed.

7. Claims 11 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,278,890 to Beeson et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,469,994 to Ueda et al.

Referring to claim 11, Beeson et al do not disclose sending the change-route request comprises sending a request containing an identifier of the mobile station.

Ueda discloses in Figure 5 that sending the change-route request comprises sending a request containing an identifier of the mobile station. "To each of packet data transferred from the terminals, a user ID to indicate each property is added" (Column 5, lines 54-55). The base station (Element 20) requests for the packet switching office 30 to set one of the shared channels into the leased mode and to assign it to terminal 10c, the terminal that is requesting a route change. Refer to Column 5, line 58 to Column 6, line 2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include sending the change-route request comprises sending a request containing an identifier of the mobile station, the motivation being so that the module receiving the change-route request can identify which terminal needs to change routes so that it can assign the channel accordingly.

Art Unit: 2663

Referring to claim 43, refer to the rejection of claim 11 and 13.

8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,278,890 to Beeson et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,469,994 to Ueda et al, and in further view of U.S. Publication No. 2001/0033563 to Niemela et al.

Beeson et al do not disclose that the identifier comprises a GPRS TLLI.

Niemela et al disclose that a data packet is associated with a TLLI. Refer to Section 0011. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include that the identifier comprises a GPRS TLLI, the motivation being that a TLLI is assigned to a mobile station during a GPRS session and is reassigned to another mobile station after the GPRS session is over, thereby making addressing efficient.

9. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,278,890 to Beeson et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,487,065 to Acampora et al.

Beeson et al do not disclose that the method further comprises disabling an address; and sending a change-route request containing the disabled address to change a path for each mobile station assigned a path defined by the disabled address.

Acampora et al disclose in Figure 6 disabling an address (first and third rows of table 114); and sending a change-route request (control message) containing the disabled address (first and third rows of table 114) to change a path (switch from path 120 to 121) for each mobile station assigned a path defined by the disabled address (first and third rows of table 114). The control message tells the switch to enable

message.

connection 121 (enable second and fourth rows of table 114) and disable connection 120 (disable first and third rows of table 114). Refer to Column 9, lines 20-33. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the method further comprises disabling an address; and sending a change-route request containing the disabled address to change a path for each mobile station assigned a path defined by the disabled address, the motivation being that this facilities rerouting of data packets through the use of a single control

10. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,278,890 to Beeson et al in view of U.S. Publication No. 2001/0033563 to Niemela et al.

Beeson et al do not disclose that each path is further defined by a UDP port of the first system and a UDP port of the second system.

Niemela disclose in Figure 2 each path is further defined by a UDP port of the first system (BSS 122) and a UDP port of the second system (SGSN 124). Refer to Section 0035. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include that each path is further defined by a UDP port of the first system and a UDP port of the second system, the motivation being that UDP is a communications protocol that does not provide the service of dividing a message into packets and reassembling it at the other end, thereby saving processing time.

11. Claims 37, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,278,890 to Beeson et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,490,295 to Agrawal et al.

Referring to claim 37, Beeson et al disclose in Figure 6 establishing communications over a network between a base station (BSS) and a system controller (MSC) and a first node (PH) to:

Identify a plurality of paths (dashed lines) in the network, each path defined by an address (local reference number) in the base station and an address (local reference number) in the system controller.

Select one of the plurality of paths to communicate data associated with a give mobile station (Figure 1, MS 120).

Send a message to decommission an address of one of the base station and the system controller. When a PH fails, the spare PH takes over the connections of the failed PH and deactivates the local reference number of the failed PH, since the local reference number contains the identifications of the PH upon which connections reside. Refer to Column 8, lines 1-42.

Beeson et al do not disclose that the addresses are IP address.

Agrawal et al disclose in Figure 1A that a BS and BSC are assigned unique IP addresses which is utilized by the IP layer. Refer to Column 6, lines 38-46. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include that the addresses are IP address, the motivation being so that the BS and BSC can communication in an IP environment.

Referring to claim 40, refer to the rejection of claim 13.

Referring to claim 41, Beeson et al disclose that the first node selects another path for each mobile station assigned a path defined by the decommissioned address by sending a change-route request containing a different IP address. The spare PH "assigns local reference numbers for new connections that have the same logical PH number as the connections formerly served by the failed PH". Refer to Column 8, lines 7-42 and the rejection of claim 7.

12. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,278,890 to Beeson et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,490,295 to Agrawal et al, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,469,994 to Ueda et al. Refer to the rejection of claim 11.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 25-27 are allowed.
- 14. Claims 4, 16, 18, 20, 23, 24 and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Ng whose telephone number is (571) 272-3124. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2663

Page 13

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. Ng ^ω March 16, 2005

RICKY NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER 3/17/05